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Executive appraisal paradox
The higher you go in an organization, the less likely you are to have quality feedback.
- Longnecker & Gioia
Why evaluate CEO performance?

• Clarify expectations between the board and the chief executive
• Foster growth and development of the CEO and the organization
• Provide insight into the board’s perception of the executive's strengths, limitations and performance

Why evaluate CEO performance?  (continued)

• Secondary purposes
  – Justify pay increase or decrease
  – JCAHO requirement
  – Justify firing CEO
  (or at least getting even!)

Executive appraisal myths

• Executives neither need nor want formal performance reviews
• A formal review is beneath the dignity of the executive
• A lack of feedback fosters autonomy and creativity in executives
• Results are the only basis for assessing executive performance
Executive appraisal myths (continued)

- Comprehensive evaluation of executive performance cannot be captured by a formal performance appraisal.

Debunking the myths
Doing effective executive appraisals

- Conduct a structured, systematic executive appraisal process.
- Incorporate development, which is essential at the executive level, into the executive review.
- Make performance review and appraisal an ongoing process.
- Focus on process, as well as outcomes during the executive review.
- Be as specific and thorough as possible.

5 responsibilities of a governing board

1. The board has the ultimate responsibility for envisioning and formulating the organization’s mission, vision, and goals and strategy.

2. To select, encourage, advise, evaluate, and if need be, replace CEO. A board must assume ultimate responsibility for ensuring high levels of executive management performance by focusing its attention on the CEO.

Source: Practical Governance Group
5 responsibilities of a governing board (continued)

3. A board must assume ultimate responsibility for ensuring the quality of the service of the organization and operating efficiency.

4. A board must assume ultimate responsibility for ensuring the organization’s financial health.

5. A board must assume responsibility for itself.

Source: Practical Governance Group

Executive appraisals

1982
- 83% hospital CEOs evaluated annually
- 46% had pre-established written criteria

2006
- 95% hospital CEOs evaluated annually
- 79% had pre-established written criteria

Source: ACHE Executive Employment Contracts and Performance Evaluations, CEO Circle white paper 2007

Executive appraisals (continued)

Compensation (2006)
- 40% of respondents had salary and bonus tied to evaluation
- 27% salary only
- 19% bonus only
- 14% not tied
  - More often case in freestanding hospitals

Source: ACHE Executive Employment Contracts and Performance Evaluations, CEO Circle white paper 2007
Executive appraisals (continued)

Fairness
- 77% of hospital CEOs agreed process fair
- 86% in freestanding hospitals
- 10% disagreed
- 13% neutral

Source: ACHE Executive Employment Contracts and Performance Evaluations, CEO Circle white paper 2007

Executive appraisals (continued)

Multisource evaluation
- CEOs think they should be evaluated by
  - Management team
    - 49% approved (43% freestanding vs. 56% system)
    - 27% disapproved (33% freestanding vs. 17% system)
    - 17% neutral
  - Medical staff
    - 45% approved (42% freestanding vs. 49% system)
    - 30% disapproved (35% freestanding vs. 24% system)

Source: ACHE Executive Employment Contracts and Performance Evaluations, CEO Circle white paper 2007

Executive appraisals (continued)

Multisource evaluation
- CEOs think they should be evaluated by
  - Community leaders
    - 25% approved
    - 47% disapproved
Executive appraisals (continued)

Board chairs’ most important criteria in CEO evaluations

- Top criteria in 2014
  - Financial performance
  - Patient satisfaction
  - Vision or other leadership qualities
  - Clinical quality of care/outcomes
- Little difference in 2014 when compared to 2011
- Should be contract-driven, if one exists

Source: AHA’s 2014 National Health Care Governance Survey Report

Executive appraisals (continued)

Figure 7.1 – Weight Given to Criteria in the Most Recent CEO Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>2011 CEO</th>
<th>2011 Board Chair</th>
<th>2014 CEO</th>
<th>2014 Board Chair</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial performance</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient satisfaction</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision or other leadership qualities</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician relations</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/indirect/efficiency</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic plan fulfillment</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical quality of care/outcomes</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee satisfaction</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal and regulatory compliance</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk management</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community health improvement</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: AHA’s 2014 National Health Care Governance Survey Report

Downfalls of current method

- Feedback given during appraisal interview tends to get lost or overshadowed
- Effective performance appraisal requires skills and knowledge about events that board members might not possess

Source: AHA's 2014 National Health Care Governance Survey Report
Deming’s critique of performance appraisal

• CEOs may be held responsible and accountable for performances over which they have no control

• Over emphasis in results-based systems tied to performance leads to compromise in quality

Deming’s critique of performance appraisal (continued)

• Many systems lead to average rankings and unfair evaluations

• Consequences of the above have a negative impact on the individual taking pride in their work

Guiding principles

• Create joint accountability for the process

• Set balanced performance goals

• Clarify roles up front

• Assure appropriate rigor and objectivity

• The performance review is not an isolated event …. it’s a process.
Guiding principles (continued)

- Assure the evaluation meets the test of clarity, fairness, and objectivity
- Beware of the short-term, financial metrics trap
- Incorporate development plan as an essential component


The process

- Annual objectives for coming year established at performance review
- Assure objectives are clear and measureable
- Clarify roles in the process

The process (continued)

- Formal communications at least semi-annually
- Solicit board input to the process
- Document the process in detail
General criteria for performance appraisal system

- Observability
- Measurability
- Job relatedness
- Importance to job success
- Controllability
- Practicality
- Eliminate ambiguity
- Simplicity

Source: “Hospital CEO Performance Evaluation,” J. Larry Tyler

Performance appraisal system development

Stage 1

Board

Executive Committee

CEO

Performance appraisal system development (continued)

Stage 2

Physicians

Executive Committee

Board

CEO
How the appraisal process should work
At the beginning of the year, decide or determine:

- **Who** will drive process
- **What** to evaluate
  - Job description, goals, behaviors, etc.
- **Who** will have input
  - COB, subordinates, etc.
- **Which** instrument to use (and be in agreement)

How the appraisal process should work (continued)
What to evaluate annually?

- Very subjective evaluation unless criteria defined
- Job description
  - Should have fewer than 20 responsibilities
- Goals
  - Quantitative
    - Financial
    - Customer satisfaction
    - Physician satisfaction
    - Quality metrics
How the appraisal process should work (continued)

What to evaluate annually? (continued)

• Goals
  • Qualitative
  • Behavioral competencies
    (see handout)

Behavioral competencies

Magnificent seven competencies

• Charting the course
• Developing work relationships
• Using broad influence
• Structuring the work environment
• Inspiring commitment
• Communicating
• Self-management

Behavioral competencies (continued)

Magnificent seven competencies

• Charting the course
  • Strategic vision
  • Innovativeness
  • Systems-thinking
  • Flexibility / adaptability
Behavioral competencies (continued)

Magnificent seven competencies
- Charting the course
  - Strategic vision
    - Demonstrates a solid feel for the organization’s purpose
    - Keeps track of important changes in the external environment as they may affect the organization
    - Effectively visualizes the organization’s future based on organization and environmental knowledge
    - Creates a clear, appealing vision that all can subscribe to
    - Successfully aligns employees with that vision

How the appraisal process should work (continued)

What to evaluate annually? (continued)
- Mission
  - Sample questions
    - The CEO understands the mission of our hospital.
    - The CEO uses the mission as a point of reference when making a decision.
    - The CEO refers to our mission frequently.
- Community health status
  - The community health assessment is routinely updated.
  - The community health execution plan is proceeding on target.

Who should give input?
- COB
- Board committee
- Entire board
- Chief of staff
- Members of the Medical Executive Committee
- Other physicians
- Management team members
- Employees
- Customers
- Regional or corporate VP
- Community
**Instrument design**

“A crude measure of the right thing beats a precise measure of the wrong thing.”
- John Carver

- Success not so much dependent on specific tool used
- Correlated with how well tool measures strategic and operational leadership factors important to your organization
- Choose a 360-degree template that comes close to meeting your needs, then tailor it

**How the appraisal process should work** *(continued)*

In the middle of the year,

- Meet informally with the process driver and/or the board chairman to discuss job descriptions and progress on goals.
- This meeting gives CEO a “heads up” on any issue that might arise.

**How the appraisal process should work** *(continued)*

Toward the end of the year,

1. Train all who will give input on how to score the instrument
2. CEO provides information to board on progress toward goals and incorporates self-assessment
How the appraisal process should work (continued)

Final steps (year end)

1. Execute instrument and schedule time for feedback session
2. Allow CEO to respond orally and in writing
3. Incorporate action plan in goals for next year
4. Get ready to start process all over again

How the appraisal process should work (continued)

BASED ON RESULTS, final step of the process is to

1. Create a performance improvement plan

How the appraisal process should work (continued)

2. Create a professional development plan
Board debrief example (positive)

- Annual evaluation fully executed
- Multi-rater system
- Feedback from appropriate groups
- Good selection of topics for evaluation

Board debrief example (improvements)

- Have outsider process data
- Have CEO evaluate himself/herself
- Expand scale to five points
- Have an evaluation meeting with the CEO to provide feedback
- Provide written evaluation and allow written response
- Require action plan based on feedback

Connecting the dots

* Do you link CEO performance appraisal to salary increases?

** Determinants of CEO compensation
  - Overall performance of the organization
  - Competition with other institutions
  - Equity within organization
  - Inflation
  - Length of time in position
  - Risk or volatility of position
  - Political or community consideration
  - IRS guidelines
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